arXiv:math/0212371v1 [math.QA] 30 Dec 2002

About rational-trigonometric deformation

V.N. Tolstoy*

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University
119992 Moscow & Russia

Abstract

We consider a rational-trigonometric deformation in context of rational and trigonometric deformations. The simplest examples of these deformations are presented in different fields of mathematics. Rational-trigonometric differential Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and dynamical equations are introduced.

1 Introduction

In classical mathematics there are three classes of meromorphic functions: rational, trigonometric, elliptic. According to these classes there are three types of quantum deformations: *rational, trigonometric, elliptic*. It turns out we can also introduce a *rational-trigonometric deformation*. All these deformations will be called standard.

In this short and sketched paper we discussed the simplest examples of the standard deformations in the arithmetic (number theory), geometry, differential calculus, theory functions and Lie algebras. We also introduce rational-trigonometric differential Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) and dynamical (DD) equations. The rational-trigonometric differential KZ equations are connected with a rational-trigonometric classical r-matrix [6] which is a sum the simplest rational and trigonometric r-matrices depending on spectral parameter. It turns out that the rational-trigonometric differential KZ (DD) equations are sums of the rational and trigonometric differential KZ (DD) equations.

2 Trigonometric, rational and rational-trigonometric deformations

2.1 Standard deformations of numbers

Let z be a complex number, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

---

*e-mail: tolstoy@nucl-th.sinp.msu.ru

a). The trigonometric deformation (or q-deformation) of z:

$$z \rightarrow (z)_q = \frac{1-q^z}{1-q} \ , \qquad (1)_q = 1, \qquad (0)_q = 0 \ , \tag{1}$$

where q is a deformation parameter, $(z)_{q=1} = z$. This deformation is well-known.

b). The rational deformation (or η-deformation) of z [7]:

$$z \rightarrow (z)_\eta = \frac{z}{1+\eta(z-1)} \ , \qquad (1)_\eta = 1, \qquad (0)_\eta = 0 \ , \tag{2}$$

where η is a deformation parameter, $(z)_{\eta=0} = z$.

c). The rational-trigonometric deformation (or (q,η)-deformation) of z [7]:

$$z \rightarrow (z)_{q\eta} = \frac{(z)_q}{1+\eta(z-1)_q} \ , \qquad (1)_{q\eta} = 1, \qquad (0)_{q\eta} = 0 \ , \tag{3}$$

where q and η are deformation parameters, $(z)_{q=1,\eta} = (z)_\eta$, $(z)_{q,\eta=0} = (z)_q$.

Remark. There is an elliptic deformation of z (for example, see [3])

2.2 Standard deformations of two-dimensional plane

Let x and y be two commuting coordinate variables, i.e.

$$xy - yx = 0 \qquad \text{(the } (x,y) - \text{plane).} \tag{4}$$

a). The trigonometric deformation of the (x,y)-plane:

$$xy - qyx = 0 \qquad \text{(the Manin's plane).} \tag{5}$$

b). The rational deformation of the (x,y)-plane:

$$xy - yx = \eta y^2 \ . \tag{6}$$

c). The rational-trigonometric deformation of the (x,y)-plane:

$$xy - qyx = \eta y^2 \ . \tag{7}$$

All these deformation of the (x,y)-plane are well-known.

Remark. No elliptic deformation of the (x,y)-plane is known. It is an open problem.

2.3 Standard deformations of the differential calculus

Let $\partial_x := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ be the usual derivative.

a). The trigonometric deformation of ∂_x:

$$\partial_x^{(q)} f(x)_q = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x} = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{x(q-1)} \ . \tag{8}$$

b). The rational deformation of ∂_x:

$$\partial_x^{(\eta)} f(x)_q = \frac{f(x+\eta) - f(x)}{x + \eta - x} = \frac{f(x+\eta) - f(x)}{\eta} \ . \tag{9}$$

The deformations a) and b) for ∂_x are well-known.

c). The rational-trigonometric deformation of ∂_x:

$$\partial_x^{(q\eta)} f(x)_q = \frac{f(qx+\eta) - f(x)}{qx + \eta - x} = \frac{f(qx+\eta) - f(x)}{x(q-1) + \eta} \ . \tag{10}$$

All these deformations of ∂_x have the following properties:

(i) they are defined by the general formulas

$$\partial_x^{(df)} f(x) = \frac{\triangle^{(df)} f(x)}{\triangle^{(df)} x} = \frac{f(x') - f(x)}{x' - x} \ , \tag{11}$$

(ii) they satisfy the Leibniz rule

$$\partial_x^{(df)} f(x)\varphi(x) = \left(\partial_x^{(df)} f(x)\right) \varphi(x) + f(x') \left(\partial_x^{(df)} \varphi(x)\right) \ , \tag{12}$$

where $x' = qx$ for the q-deformation, $x' = x + \eta$ for the η-deformation and $x' = qx + \eta$ for the (q, η)-deformation.

Remark. There is an explicite formula for the elliptic deformation of ∂_x.

2.4 Standard deformations of functions

Let $\exp(x)$ be the usual exponential of x:

$$\exp(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2!} x^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} x^n + \cdots \ . \tag{13}$$

and let $F_{n,m}(x)$ be a standard hypergeometric series:

$$F_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{(k)} (a_2)_{(k)} \cdots (a_n)_{(k)}}{k! (b_1)_{(k)} (b_2)_{(k)} \cdots (b_m)_{(k)}} x^k \ , \tag{14}$$

where

$$(a)_{(k)} = a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1)\ . \tag{15}$$

a). The trigonometric deformation of $\exp(x)$ and $F_{n,m}(x)$.

$$\exp_q(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{(2)_q!}x^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n)_q!}x^n + \cdots\ , \tag{16}$$

where

$$(n)_q! = (1)_q(2)_q\cdots(n)_q\ . \tag{17}$$

If we replace the parameters a_i, b_j, and $k!$ in (14) by the q-analogs $(a_i)_q$, $(b_j)_q$ and $(k)_q!$ we obtain the basic hypergeometric (q-hypergeometric) series $F^{(q)}_{n,m}(z)$.

b). The rational deformation of $\exp(x)$ and $F_{n,m}(x)$.

$$\exp_\eta(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{(2)_\eta!}x^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n)_\eta!}x^n + \cdots\ , \tag{18}$$

where

$$(n)_\eta! = (1)_\eta(2)_\eta\cdots(n)_\eta\ . \tag{19}$$

If we replace the parameters a_i, b_j, and $k!$ in (14) by the η-analogs $(a_i)_\eta$, $(b_j)_\eta$ and $(k)_\eta!$ we obtain the basic hypergeometric (η-hypergeometric) series $F^{(\eta)}_{n,m}(z)$.

c). The rational-trigonometric deformation of $\exp(x)$ and $F_{n,m}(x)$.

$$\exp_{q\eta}(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{(2)_{q\eta}!}x^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n)_{q\eta}!}x^n + \cdots\ , \tag{20}$$

where

$$(n)_{q\eta}! = (1)_{q\eta}(2)_{q\eta}\cdots(n)_{q\eta}\ . \tag{21}$$

The replacement of a_i, b_j, and $k!$ in (14) by the (q,η)-analogs $(a_i)_{q\eta}$, $(b_j)_{q\eta}$ and $(k)_{q\eta}!$ gives us the (q,η)-hypergeometric series

$$F^{(q,\eta)}_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((a_1)_{q\eta})_{(k)}((a_2)_{q\eta})_{(k)}\cdots((a_n)_{q\eta})_{(k)}}{(k)_{q\eta}!((b_1)_{q\eta})_{(k)}((b_2)_{q\eta})_{(k)}\cdots((b_m)_{q\eta})_{(k)}}x^k\ , \tag{22}$$

where

$$((a)_{q\eta})_{(k)} = (a)_{q\eta}(a+1)_{q\eta}\cdots(a+k-1)_{q\eta}\ . \tag{23}$$

Setting here $q = 1$ we obtain the η-hypergeometric series $F^{(\eta)}_{n,m}(x)$. We can also introduce the η- and (q,η)- analogs of other special functions.

All deformed exponentials $\exp_q(x)$, $\exp_\eta(x)$ and $\exp_{q\eta}(x)$ can be obtained from the functional equation $f_1(x+y) = f_2(y)f_3(x)$ with regular functions $f_i(z)$ satisfying the initial conditions $f_i(0) = 1$, where the variables x and y satisfy the relations (5)–(7) (see [7]).

Remark. Analogous formulas exist also for the elliptic case they can be found in [3].

2.5 Standard deformations of universal enveloping algebras

Let g be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, $g[u,u^{-1}]$ be a loop algebra and $g[u]$ be a non-negative loop algebra over g. We denote by $U(g)$, $U(g[u])$ and $U(g[u,u^{-1}])$ their universal enveloping algebras.

a). The trigonometric deformation (q-deformation) of $U(g)$, $U(g[u])$ and $U(g[u,u^{-1}])$ are well-known. They are denoted by $U_q(g)$, $U_q(g[u])$ and $U_q(g[u,u^{-1}])$ [1].

b). The rational deformation of $U_q(g[u])$ and $U_q(g[u,u^{-1}])$ are well-known. They are Yangian $Y_\eta(g)$ [1] and its double $DY_\eta(g)$ [2].

c). The rational-trigonometric deformation of $U_q(g[u])$ and $U_q(g[u,u^{-1}])$ are Drinfeldian $D_{q\eta}(g)$ [6], [7] and its double $DD_{q\eta}(g)$.

Remark. An elliptic deformation exists only for $U(sl_n[u])$. It is well-known too.

3 Rational-trigonometric differential Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and dynamical equations

We consider the case $g = gl_M$ although results of this section are also valid for an arbitrary simple complex Lie algebra g. Let e_{ab}, $a,b = 1,\ldots,M$, be the standard Cartan-Weyl basis of gl_M: $[e_{ab},e_{cd}] = \delta_{bc}e_{ad} - \delta_{ad}e_{cb}$. The element $C_2 := \sum\limits_{a,b=1}^{N} e_{ab}e_{ba} \in U(gl_M)$ is a gl_M-scalar, i.e. $[C_2,x] = 0$ for any $x \in gl_M$, and it is called the second order Casimir element. The element $\Omega := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\Delta(C_2) - C_2\otimes\mathrm{id} - \mathrm{id}\otimes C_2\Big) = \sum\limits_{a,b=1}^{M} e_{ab}\otimes e_{ba} \subset U(gl_M)\otimes U(gl_M)$, where Δ is a trivial co-product $\Delta(x) = x\otimes\mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id}\otimes x$ ($\forall x \in gl_M$), is called the Casimir two-tensor. The two-tensor can be presented in the form $\Omega = \Omega^+ + \Omega^-$, where $\Omega^+ = \frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_a e_{aa}\otimes e_{aa} + \sum\limits_{1\le a<b\le M} e_{ab}\otimes e_{ba}$ and $\Omega^- = \frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_a e_{aa}\otimes e_{aa} + \sum\limits_{1\le a<b\le M} e_{ba}\otimes e_{ab}$. Note that $(\omega\otimes\omega)(\Omega^\pm) = \Omega^\mp$, where ω is the Cartan automorphism: $\omega(e_{ab}) = -e_{ba}$.

For any $x \in U(gl_M)$ we set $x_{(i)} = \underbrace{\mathrm{id}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\overset{i-th}{x}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathrm{id}}_{N-times}$. We consider $U(gl_M)$ as a subalgebra of $(U(gl_M))^{\otimes N}$, the embedding $U(gl_M) \hookrightarrow (U(gl_M))^{\otimes N}$ being given by the N-fold co-product, that is $x \mapsto \Delta^N(x) = x_{(1)} + \ldots + x_{(N)}$ for any $x \in gl_M$.

For a nonzero complex number κ we consider differential operators $\nabla^{(r)}_{z_1},\ldots,\nabla^{(r)}_{z_N}$ and $\nabla^{(t)}_{z_1},\ldots,\nabla^{(t)}_{z_N}$ with coefficient in $(U(gl_M))^{\otimes N}$ depending on complex variable $z_1,\ldots,z_N$ and $\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_M$ (see [4] and [5]):

$$\nabla^{(r)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) = \kappa\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \sum_{a=1}^{M}\lambda_a(e_{aa})_{(i)} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{\Omega_{(ij)}}{z_i - z_j}\ , \tag{24}$$

$$\nabla^{(t)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) \;=\; \kappa z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \sum_{a=1}^{M}(\lambda_a - e_{aa})(e_{aa})_{(i)} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ i\neq i}}^{N} \frac{z_i\Omega^{+}_{(ij)} + z_j\Omega^{-}_{(ij)}}{z_i - z_j}\ . \tag{25}$$

The operators $\nabla^{(r)}_{z_1},\ldots,\nabla^{(r)}_{z_N}$ (resp.$\nabla^{(t)}_{z_1},\ldots,\nabla^{(t)}_{z_N}$) are called the rational (resp. trigonometric) Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) operators. We set

$$\nabla^{(rt)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) = \hbar\nabla^{(t)}_{z_i+\frac{\eta}{\hbar}}(z+\frac{\eta}{\hbar};(1+\frac{\eta}{\hbar})\lambda)\ . \tag{26}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\nabla^{(rt)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) = \hbar\nabla^{(t)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) + \eta\nabla^{(r)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda)\ . \tag{27}$$

The rational (trigonometric or rational-trigonometric) KZ equations is the system of the differential equations

$$\nabla^{(\alpha)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda)u(z;\lambda) = 0\ , \qquad i=1,\ldots,N;\ \ \alpha = (r)\,((t) \text{ or } (rt)) \tag{28}$$

for a function $u(z;\lambda) := u(z_1,\ldots,z_N;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_M)$ taking values in an N-fold tensor product of gl_M-modules.

We also consider the differential operators $D^{(r)}_{\lambda_1},\ldots,D^{(r)}_{\lambda_M}$ and $D^{(t)}_{\lambda_1},\ldots,D^{(t)}_{\lambda_M}$ with coefficient in $(U(gl_M))^{\otimes N}$ depending on complex variables $z_1,\ldots,z_N$ and $\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_M$ [4]:

$$D^{(r)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda) = \kappa\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_a} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i(e_{aa})_{(i)} - \sum_{\substack{b=1\\ b\neq a}}^{M} \frac{e_{ab}e_{ba} - e_{aa}}{\lambda_a - \lambda_b}\ , \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(t)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda) \;=\;& \kappa\lambda_a\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_a} + \frac{a^2_{aa}}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i(e_{aa})_i - \\ & - \sum_{b=1}^{M}\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N}(e_{ab})_{(i)}(e_{ba})_{(j)} - \sum_{\substack{b=1\\ b\neq a}}^{M}\frac{\lambda_b(e_{ab}e_{ba} - e_{aa})}{\lambda_a - \lambda_b}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Remind that $e_{ab} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{M}(e_{ab})_{(i)}$. The operators $D^{(r)}_{z_1},\ldots D^{(r)}_{z_M}$ (resp. $D^{(t)}_{z_1},\ldots D^{(t)}_{z_M}$) are called the rational (resp. trigonometric) differential dynamic (DD) operators. We set

$$D^{(rt)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda) := \hbar D^{(t)}_{\lambda_a+\frac{\eta}{\hbar}}((1+\frac{\eta}{\hbar})z;\lambda+\frac{\eta}{\hbar})\ . \tag{31}$$

It is easy to see that

$$D^{(rt)}_{z_i}(z;\lambda) = \hbar D^{(t)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda) + \eta D^{(r)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda)\ . \tag{32}$$

The rational (trigonometric or rational-trigonometric) DD equations is the system of the differential equations

$$D^{(\alpha)}_{\lambda_a}(z;\lambda)u(z;\lambda) = 0\ , \qquad a=1,\ldots,M;\ \ \alpha = (r)\,((t) \text{ or } (rt)) \tag{33}$$

for a function $u(z;\lambda) := u(z_1, \ldots, z_N; \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_M)$ taking values in an N-fold tensor product of gl_M-modules.

¿From (27), (32) and Theorem 5.8 of the paper [4] for any $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and $a = 1, \ldots, M$ we have the duality:

$$\nabla_{z_i}^{(rt)}(z;\lambda)_M \simeq D_{z_i}^{(rt)}(\lambda;z)_N \ , \qquad D_{\lambda_a}^{(rt)}(z;\lambda)_M \simeq \nabla_{\lambda_a}^{(rt)}(\lambda;z)_N \ , \tag{34}$$

where the index M (N) is connected with the Lie algebra $gl_M(gl_N)$.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, grant No. RFBR-02-01-00668, and CRDF RMI-2334-MO-02.

References

[1] Drinfeld V G 1987 Quantum groups Amer. Math. Soc. Providence 798-820.

[2] Khoroshkin S M and Tolstoy V N 1996 Lett. Math. Phys. 36 373–402

[3] Spiridonov V 2001 Uspehi Math. Nauk

[4] Tarasov V and Varchenko A 2001 `arXiv:math.QA/0112005`

[5] Tarasov V and Varchenko A 2001 Int. Math. Res. Notices 15 801-829 (`arXiv:math.QA/0002132`)

[6] Tolstoy V N 1997 Connection between Yangians and Quantum Affine Algebras Proc. the X-th Max Born Symposium Wroclav 1996 PWN - Polish Sci. Publishers Warszawa 99-117

[7] Tolstoy V N (2001) Rational-trigonometric deformations Proc. Karpacz Winter School of Theor. Physics Poland 2001 AIP Conf.Proc. Vol 589 American Institute of Physics Melville New York 296–306